

**To:** Johnson, Cynthia[Johnson.Cynthia@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Smith, Leon[Smith.Leon@epa.gov]  
**From:** Gullett, Brian  
**Sent:** Mon 1/30/2017 3:33:16 PM  
**Subject:** RE: extension of RW97924344 "Radford"

Thanks, CJ.

We are providing a final report at the end of the project.

I've asked DoD if we can extend and am awaiting their response.

I will need some "hand-holding" going through IGMS to finalize the No Cost Extension Request/IA Amendment if you don't mind.

Brian

**From:** Johnson, Cynthia  
**Sent:** Monday, January 30, 2017 10:27 AM  
**To:** Gullett, Brian <Gullett.Brian@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Smith, Leon <Smith.Leon@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: extension of RW97924344 "Radford"

Hi Brian,

Sorry, we talked about several things last week and I guess I missed this one. The project you are citing RW 97-924344-01-1, Radford Ammunition Depot" is covered under the authority of the Economy Act. The project is funded with FY15/16 funds. And the project has a period of performance of 8/3/15 – 2/28/17.

I pulled this up in IGMS but I can't located the scope of work, and the MIPR states it's under separate cover. If this is a completion-type air study, meaning that they are not receiving benefit from the separate sampling and analysis being done but the report that will comes at the end of project completion ( providing a summary analysis toward some ultimate objective), then, I'd say this is a completion type study and the agreement can be extended from my perspective to achieve that objective. I copied Leon in case he wants to weigh.

My recommendation is that you first contact DOD to ascertain if the extension is allowable on their end. As there have been circumstances that despite our perspective/willingness to extend, the other Agency would not allow it. Please attach DOD's response to your no-cost amendment for OGD. When one is extending another Agency's Economy Act funded Agreement, OGD often requires demonstration of their prior acceptance. A lot goes into the amendment development on their end and this will facilitate it's workflow.

Thanks,

CJ

**From:** Gullett, Brian  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 26, 2017 12:50 PM  
**To:** Johnson, Cynthia <[Johnson.Cynthia@epa.gov](mailto:Johnson.Cynthia@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** FW: extension of RW97924344 "Radford"

CJ, just a reminder of my questions.

Thanks!

Brian

**From:** Gullett, Brian

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 24, 2017 2:28 PM  
**To:** Johnson, Cynthia <[Johnson.Cynthia@epa.gov](mailto:Johnson.Cynthia@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** extension of RW97924344 "Radford"

CJ,

This Funds-In project ends 2/28/17. However, we have had a breakdown in a major piece of equipment require to analyze their samples. The fix requires a computer which needs to be approved (FITARA?). These approvals, and the purchase itself, is apparently stalled by the transition. I am positive we'll need an extension but no additional funds.

What is the course you recommend? Another No Cost Extension?

Thanks,

Brian